



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,137	08/22/2005	Youichirou Ogawa	121506	8749
25944	7590	11/05/2008	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 320850 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850			FISCHER, JUSTIN R	
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1791				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
11/05/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/511,137	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OGAWA, YUUICHIROU
	<b>Examiner</b> Justin R. Fischer	<b>Art Unit</b> 1791

**All Participants:**(1) Justin R. Fischer.**Status of Application:** Pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Daniel Tanner.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 October 2008**Time:** 3:00**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:**

103 rejection under Ogawa

**Claims discussed:**

1-3,5-9, and 12-14

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Ogawa

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Justin R Fischer/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representative discussed the potential filing of an RCE and the desire to specifically pursue the allowance of claim 8 (includes limitations pertaining to the location of the turnup end in relation to a reference line QB). In such an instance, the pending rejection of claim 8 would remain applicable and a Non Final rejection would be communicated to applicant (assuming the submission of new arguments with the filing of said RCE).